IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 145 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 6867 OF 2000
WITH
REVIEW PETITION (ST.) NO. 21171 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 3097 OF 2003
WITH
REVIEW PETITION (ST.) NO. 21175 OF 2005
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4105 OF 2003.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

---

Mr.M.C.Shah with A.K. Rao for Review petitioners.

Mr.J.P.Cama with Mr.G.H.Keluskar,for respondent No.1.

Mr.N.D.P.Sharma for respondent No.3.

CORAM: V.G. PALSHIKAR, Ag. C.J.
AND
D.B. BHOSALE, J.

14.12.2005.

PC:

By these review petitions the petitioners seek review of the order passed by us on 19.8.2005 whereby we have rejected the petitions as not

tenable in view of the fact that it is a private banking company and therefore not State under Article 12. Reliance was placed on the judgment of the Supreme Court in Federal Bank's case.

2. It is contended on behalf of the petitioners that the ratio of Federal Bank's case is not applicable in the present case Sangli Bank being instrumentality of the State having been fully controlled by the State. The control is prerogative and therefore it could not have been held that it is not the State. All these submissions go to show that we were wrong in what we did on 19.8.2005. According to the learned advocate it is an error in the judgment. Forum to correct such error is somewhere else and not Review Petition. The Review Applications therefore stand rejected.

14.12.2005